arXiv:1204.1444v1 [math.CO] 6 Apr 2012

Computing the minimum rank of a loop directed tree

Maguy Trefois[*]   Jean-Charles Delvenne[*][†][‡]

March 17, 2012

Abstract

The minimum rank of a graph is the minimum possible rank of a real matrix whose zero-nonzero pattern is described by the graph. The current algorithms can compute efficiently the minimum rank of undirected trees. This paper provides an algorithm to compute in polynomial time the minimum rank of directed trees allowing loops.

1 Introduction

The Inverse Eigenvalue Problem of a Graph (IEPG) [1, 2], intensively studied during the last fifteen years, has been an important motivation for the minimum rank problem. In the IEPG, we consider a simple undirected graph G and we associate with it a set $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ of real symmetric matrices whose zero-nonzero pattern of the off-diagonal entries is described by the graph. Given a sequence $[\mu_1, ..., \mu_n]$ of non increasing real numbers, we would like to know if there exists a matrix in the set $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ whose spectrum is $[\mu_1, ..., \mu_n]$.
A first step to study this very difficult problem is to compute the maximum possible multiplicity of an eigenvalue μ for a matrix in $\mathcal{Q}(G)$. This maximum is computed

---

[*] ICTEAM Institute - Department of Applied Mathematics, Université catholique de Louvain, Avenue Georges Lemaître 4 B-1348 Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium. maguy.trefois@uclouvain.be, jean-charles.delvenne@uclouvain.be.

[†] Namur Complex Systems Center (NAXYS), Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, Namur, Belgium.

[‡] Center for Operations Research and Econometrics (CORE), Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium.

thanks to the minimum rank of the graph G. Indeed, for any real number μ, the maximum possible multiplicity of μ is :

$$|G| - mr(G),$$

where $|G|$ denotes the number of vertices in G (the vertex set of a graph is assumed to be finite) and $mr(G)$ refers to the minimum rank of the graph, that is :

$$mr(G) = \min\{rank(A) : A \in \mathcal{Q}(G)\}.$$

We notice that this maximum multiplicity does not depend on μ : that is because the zero-nonzero pattern of the diagonal entries for a matrix in $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ is free, so we can modify the diagonal entries of a matrix in $\mathcal{Q}(G)$ as we want and the matrix is still in $\mathcal{Q}(G)$.

The minimum rank of a graph is also useful in many problems like the singular graphs or the biclique partition of the edges of a graph (see [2] for a brief description and interesting references).

A color change rule was defined on a graph G [3, 4] : the vertices of G are either black, or white and this rule colors in black some white vertices of the graph. Thanks to this color change rule, a number denoted $Z(G)$ and called the **zero forcing number** of the graph was defined: this is the minimum number of vertices which have to be initially black (the others are supposed to be white) so that after applying repeatedly the color change rule to G all the vertices of G are black.

This number allows to compute a lower bound for the minimum rank of a graph G. More precisely, we have this inequality :

$$|G| - Z(G) \leq mr(G),$$

where $|G|$ denotes the number of vertices in G.

Moreover, if G is a tree, then equality holds :

$$|G| - Z(G) = mr(G). \tag{1}$$

In the following we rely on the notions of hypergraph and loop directed tree: a **hypergraph** H consists of a finite vertex set $V(H)$ and a finite hyperedge set $E(H)$. A hyperedge of size s ($s \geq 1$) is a collection of s distinct vertices.
A **directed acyclic graph** is a directed graph with no directed cycles, that is, it

is impossible to start at some vertex v and follow a sequence of edges that loops back to v. If G is a directed graph, the associated undirected graph $\hat{G}$ is the graph having the same vertex set, and $\{i,j\}$ is an edge in $\hat{G}$ when at least one of $(i,j),(j,i)$ is an egde in G. A **directed tree**[1] is a directed acyclic graph such that its associated undirected graph is a tree. In a (un)directed graph, a **loop** is an edge (i,j) (resp. $\{i,j\}$) whose vertices i and j are the same. We notice that a directed tree has no loop. A **loop directed tree** is a directed graph such that if ignoring the loops, the graph is a directed tree.

Hypergraphs seem to be a natural structure to formulate the minimum rank problem of a loop directed tree. Indeed, we know that the rank of a matrix is invariant by permutation of the rows. So, permuting the rows of the zero-nonzero pattern of the matrices described by the graph does not change the minimum rank. We will see that the hypergraph associated with a loop directed tree is also invariant by these permutations whereas the graph is not. As a consequence, we can say that the hypergraph associated with a loop directed tree contains less irrelevant information than the graph itself.

The outline of this paper is as follows: at first, we define a color change rule on a hypergraph H : for any black-and-white coloring of the vertices of H, this rule colors in black some white vertices of the hypergraph. Thanks to this color change rule, we define a number denoted $Y(H)$ and called the **generating number** of the hypergraph : that is the minimum number of vertices in H which have to be initially black (the others are assumed to be white) so that after applying the color change rule repeatedly to H all the vertices of H are black (Section 2). Next, we show how to associate a hypergraph with a loop directed graph, that is a directed graph allowing loops, and we highlight the fact that the generating number of the hypergraph associated with a loop directed graph is equal to its zero forcing number (Section 3). In Section 4, we present an algorithm to compute in polynomial time the generating number of a particular type of hypergraphs. In addition, we will show that this type includes the hypergraphs associated with a loop directed tree. Therefore, thanks to Equation 1, we can compute efficiently the minimum rank of a loop directed tree. The advantage to work with the hypergraph associated with the loop directed tree instead of the tree itself is the ease with which we can write an efficient algorithm for computing the zero forcing number of the tree. Finally, in Section 5, we show that we can also compute the minimum rank of a loop directed tree as defined in [4] (see footnote on page 3).

---

[1] Our definition is slightly different from that given in [4]. Indeed, we prohibit that both of (i,j) and (j,i) are edges in a directed tree whereas this configuration seems to be permitted in the definition given in [4]. We will see (in Section 5) that our algorithm can also be used to compute the minimum rank of a loop directed tree as defined in [4].

Note that in this paper all of the matrices are real. However, the minimum rank problem could be studied over fields other than the real numbers [2, 5].

2 A color change rule on a hypergraph

In this section, we define a color change rule on a hypergraph in the purpose to define its generating number (as presented in the introduction).

Let H be a **black-white hypergraph**, that is any vertex of H is either black or white. The **color change rule** is applied to a hyperedge $e \in E(H)$ in the following way : suppose that the size of e is s. If exactly $(s-1)$ vertices of e are black, then the last one becomes black too. This last vertex is said to be **generated** by e.

Say by abuse of language that when the color change rule is applied to a black-white hypergraph, it is actually applied repeatedly to each hyperedge until no more color change is possible.

Definition 2.1. *Let H be a black-white hypergraph.*

*A **generating set** $\mathcal{Y}$ is a white vertex set of H such that if all the vertices of $\mathcal{Y}$ were colored in black in H, then after applying the color change rule to H, no more vertex of H would be white.*

*The **generating number** $Y(H)$ is the minimum number of vertices in a generating set of H :*

$$Y(H) = \min\{|\mathcal{Y}| : \mathcal{Y} \textit{ is a generating set of } H\},$$

where $|\mathcal{Y}|$ denotes the number of vertices in $\mathcal{Y}$.

In the next sections, we use this notion of generating number in order to compute the minimum rank of a loop directed tree.

3 The minimum rank of a loop directed tree

In this section, we show how to associate a hypergraph with a loop directed graph and we show that the generating number of the hypergraph associated with a loop directed tree allows to determine its minimum rank.

A graph G consists of a finite **vertex set** $V(G)$ and a finite **edge set** $E(G)$.

A graph describes a matrix set whose definition depends on the type of the graph. We will only focus here on the loop directed graphs (for more details on the other types of graphs, see [4]). The matrix set described by a loop directed graph G is :

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ld}(G) = \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{|G|\times|G|} : a_{ij} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (i,j) \in E(G)\}.$$

The minimum rank of a graph is the minimum possible rank for a matrix in the matrix set described by the graph. So, the minimum rank of a loop directed graph G is :

$$mr(G) = \min\{rank(A) : A \in \mathcal{Q}_{ld}(G)\}.$$

Let P be the zero-nonzero pattern of a matrix of order N. We associate with P the black-white hypergraph H_P defined in the following way : H_P contains N (white) vertices (numbered from 1 to N). Let n be the number of distinct nonzero rows in P. Then H_P contains exactly n hyperedges: if the nonzero entries in a row lie in columns $i_1, ..., i_l$, then we create the hyperedge $\{i_1, ..., i_l\}$. Thanks to this matching, we can associate a black-white hypergraph with a loop directed graph.

Definition 3.1. *Let G be a loop directed graph and denote P_G the zero-nonzero pattern of the matrices in $\mathcal{Q}_{ld}(G)$. The hypergraph H_{P_G} is called the* ***hypergraph associated with*** *G.*

Now, we prove that the zero forcing number (as presented in the introduction and defined here below) of a loop directed graph G is always equal to the generating number of the hypergraph associated with G.

If G is a loop directed graph, the color change rule defined in [4] is the following: assume the vertices of G are either black or white. If exactly one out-neighbor j of a vertex i is white, then change the color of j to black (the possibility $i = j$ is permitted).

The zero forcing number $Z(G)$ of G is then the minimum number of vertices which have to be initially black (the others are assumed to be white), so that after applying repeatedly the color change rule to G, all the vertices of G are black.

Proposition 3.2. *If G is a loop directed graph, then the zero forcing number of G is equal to the generating number of its associated hypergraph.*

Proof. As the out-neighbors of a vertex in G consist in a hyperedge in its associated hypergraph, the color change rules on H_{P_G} and on G are the same. □

In the particular case of a loop directed tree T, it was proved in [4] that

$$|T| - Z(T) = mr(T).$$

As a consequence, we have the same result with the generating number of the hypergraph associated with T :

$$|T| - Y(H_{P_T}) = mr(T).$$

4 An algorithm for the minimum rank of a loop directed tree

To calculate the generating number of a **stable black-white hypergraph** (that is, a black-white hypergraph such that if the color change rule was applied to it, no color change would be possible) associated with a loop directed tree, we distinguish three kinds of white vertices : the **isolated white vertices** (that is, the vertices that do not belong to a hyperedge), the **pendent white vertices** (that is, the white vertices that belong to exactly one hyperedge) and the white vertices which belong to several hyperedges. Our goal is to present Lemma 4.2 which deals with the isolated vertices and $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm which deals with the pendent white vertices. Finally, we will see that these results allow to compute the minimum rank of a loop directed tree.

Notice that if we have a black-white hypergraph H, to obtain a stable black-white hypergraph, it is sufficient to apply the color change rule to H.

Notation 4.1. *Let H be a black-white hypergraph. $V_{IW}(H)$ denotes the set of the isolated white vertices of H and H' denotes the hypergraph obtained from H by removing its isolated vertices.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let H be a black-white hypergraph. Then,*

$$Y(H) = |V_{IW}(H)| + Y(H'),$$

where $|V_{IW}(H)|$ denotes the number of isolated white vertices in H.

Proof. The isolated white vertices will never be generated by a hyperedge. So, it is necessary to color them in black and $Y(H) = |V_{IW}(H)| + Y(H')$. □

Definition 4.3. *Let H be a hypergraph and $X \subset E(H)$. The **subhypergraph defined by** X is the hypergraph whose hyperedge set is X and whose vertices are the vertices of the hyperedges in X.*

Before presenting $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm, we need the following definition and notation.

Definition 4.4. *A hypergraph H' is* ***included*** *in hypergraph H if $V(H') \subseteq V(H)$ and $E(H') \subseteq E(H)$.*

The $\mathcal{PW}$ ***subhypergraph****, denoted H_f, of a stable black-white hypergraph H is the subhypergraph defined by a set of hyperedges of H, free of pendent white vertex and maximal for the inclusion.*

It is clear that the $\mathcal{PW}$ subhypergraph of a stable black-white hypergraph is uniquely defined.

Notation 4.5. *Let e be a hyperedge in a stable black-white hypergraph H. Denote $pw(e)$ the number of pendent white vertices in e.*

```
input  : a stable black-white hypergraph $H$
output : a number $Y$ such that :
             $Y(H) = |V_{IW}(H)| + Y + Y(H_f)$,
         where $|V_{IW}(H)|$ denotes the number of isolated white vertices in $H$.
if $H$ does not contain a pendent white vertex then return 0;
else
    let $e \in E(H)$ containing a pendent white vertex;
    return $(pw(e) - 1) + \mathcal{PW}algorithm(H^\star)$,
    where $H^\star = (V(H)\backslash\{\text{the pendent vertices of } e\}, E(H)\backslash\{e\})$;
end
```

Algorithm 1: $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm

The following lemma will be useful to prove that $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm is correct.

Lemma 4.6. *Let H be a stable black-white hypergraph and $\mathcal{Y}$ a minimal generating set of H. Let $e \in E(H)$ a hyperedge with at least a pendent white vertex in H and all of its pendent white vertices in $\mathcal{Y}$. Then, the following assertions hold.*

a) $\mathcal{Y}$ is not a generating set of $H' := (V(H), E(H)\backslash\{e\})$. Moreover, after coloring in black the vertices of $\mathcal{Y}$ in H' and after applying the color change rule to H', there is a unique vertex of e that is white in H'. Let us call this vertex v.

b) The set $\mathcal{Y}' := \{v\} \cup \mathcal{Y}\backslash\{\text{one pendent white vertex of } e\}$ is a minimal generating set of H.

Proof. a) Suppose that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a generating set of H'. The white vertices of e that are pendent in H are isolated white vertices in H'. So,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y}\backslash\{\text{ all the pendent white vertices of } e \text{ in } H\}$$

is a generating set of

$$H^\star := H'\backslash\{\text{ all the pendent white vertices of } e \text{ in } H\}.$$

It is then straightforward to see that the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{\text{ the pendent white vertices of } e \text{ but one}\}$$

is a generating set of H. However, $|\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}| = |\mathcal{Y}| - 1$. So, $\mathcal{Y}$ is not minimal. Contradiction.

If after coloring in black the vertices of $\mathcal{Y}$ in H' and if after applying the color change rule to H', all the vertices of e are black in H', then, as $\mathcal{Y}$ is a generating set of H, it would also be a generating set of H'. Consequently, there exists at least a vertex of e that is white in H' after coloring in black the vertices of $\mathcal{Y}$ in H' and after applying the color change rule to H'.

Suppose that there are at least two vertices of e that are white in H' after coloring in black the vertices of $\mathcal{Y}$ in H' and after applying the color change rule to H'. If we would then add hyperedge e to H' and if we would apply the color change rule to H', then all the vertices of H' should be black (as $\mathcal{Y}$ is a generating set of H). However, if in H' at least two vertices of e are white, then e will generate none of its white vertices and $\mathcal{Y}$ would not be a generating set of H.

b) As $\mathcal{Y}$ is a generating set of H and by definition of vertex v, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{Y}' = \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \cup \{v\} \cup \{\text{ all the pendent white vertices of } e \text{ but one}\}$$

is a generating set of H. Moreover, as $|\mathcal{Y}'| = |\mathcal{Y}|$, $\mathcal{Y}'$ is a minimal generating set of H.

□

Theorem 4.7. *$\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm is correct.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can consider that H does not contain isolated vertices.

If H does not contain a pendent white vertex, then $H_f = H$ and $Y(H) = 0 + Y(H)$.

Otherwise, consider a hyperedge $e \in E(H)$ with a pendent white vertex.

The expression $(pw(e) - 1)$ (notice this difference is always nonnegative) is the number of pendent white vertices in e that is necessary to color in black so that the color change rule colors in black all the white vertices of H. Indeed, if we color less than $(pw(e) - 1)$ pendent white vertices of e in black, we know that e will never be completely colored in black by the color change rule, as its pendent white vertices will never be generated by another hyperedge since they are pendent. Consequently, a minimal generating set of H contains at least $pw(e) - 1$ pendent white vertices of e.

Suppose that a minimal generating set $\mathcal{Y}$ of H contains all the pendent white vertices of e. Then, thanks to Lemma 4.6, there exists a minimal generating set of H with $pw(e) - 1$ pendent white vertices of e.

Consequently, there exists a minimal generating set of H with which hyperedge e will generate one of its pendent white vertices and

$$Y(H) = (pw(e) - 1) + Y(H^\star),$$

where $H^\star = (V(H)\backslash\{\text{the pendent vertices of } e\}, E(H)\backslash\{e\})$.

Recursively,

$$Y(H) = (pw(e) - 1) + \mathcal{PW}\text{algorithm}(H^\star) + Y(H_f) = Y + Y(H_f).$$

□

Proposition 4.8. *$\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm has a cubic complexity.*

Proof. Denote n the number of hyperedges in H and m the number of vertices in H. In the following, we assume that the hypergraph H is represented by its incidence matrix, that is a (m, n)-matrix whose (i, j)-entry is 1-valued if vertex i belongs to hyperedge j and is 0-valued otherwise.

To check if a given vertex is pendent, we have to verify if it belongs to exactly one hyperedge. The complexity of such an operation is then $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Consequently, the complexity to check that the hypergraph does not contain a pendent white vertex or to choose a hyperedge with a pendent white vertex is $\mathcal{O}(nm)$.

Moreover, the hypergraph loses only one hyperedge at each recursive call, complexity of $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm is then $\mathcal{O}(n^2m)$. □

In particular, in the case of a stable black-white hypergraph H whose subhypergraph H_f is either **empty** (that is, $V(H_f) = \emptyset$), or is totally black-colored, we have a method to compute its generating number in polynomial time. Next theorem shows that the stable black-white hypergraph associated with a loop directed tree has such a property.

Before proving next theorem, let us make the following remark.

Remark 4.9. *Let H be a black-white hypergraph.*

Assume that H contains isolated vertices and denote H' the hypergraph obtained from H by deleting its isolated vertices. Then, $H_f = H'_f$. Indeed, as H_f is defined by a hyperedge set and as the isolated vertices do not belong to a hyperedge, the result is clear.

Suppose that H contains a black vertex v. Denote H' the hypergraph obtained by deleting v from H, that is $V(H') = V(H)\backslash\{v\}$ and the hyperedges of H' are the hyperedges of H restricted to $V(H')$. Then, $Y(H) = Y(H')$. Indeed, if v is an isolated vertex, there is nothing to prove. Therefore, suppose that v belongs to some hyperedges $e_1, .., e_n$ and let us prove that the generating sets of H and H' are the same. Indeed, if during the generating process, a hyperedge e_i $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ generates one of its vertices in H, that means that at a certain moment all the vertices, except one, of e_i are black. But in that case, all the vertices, except one, of e_i restricted to $V(H')$ are black too. As a result, e_i restricted to $V(H')$ will generate in H' the same vertex as e_i in H. Reversely, if a hyperedge e_i generates one of its vertices in H', then e_i will generate in H the same vertex as e_i in H'. So, the generating sets of H and H' are the same.

Define now the notion of rooted loop directed tree, as well as the notion of leaf and the relation “father-child” in such a graph.

Definition 4.10. *A loop directed tree T is called a* ***rooted loop directed tree*** *if a vertex v is designated to be the root of the simple (undirected) tree $\hat{T}_s$ obtained by removing the loops from the undirected graph $\hat{T}$ associated with T. Such a vertex v is said to be the* ***root*** *of the rooted loop directed tree T.*

Definition 4.11. *Let T be a rooted loop directed tree and let v, v_1 and v_2 be three vertices of T. The vertex v is said to be a* ***leaf*** *in T if v is a leaf in $\hat{T}_s$. In the same way, v_1 is said to be the* ***father*** *of v_2 in T if v_1 is the father of v_2 in $\hat{T}_s$.*

Theorem 4.12. *The generating number of the $\mathcal{PW}$ subhypergraph of the stable black-white hypergraph H associated with a rooted loop directed tree T is zero.*

Proof. Thanks to Remark 4.9, it is equivalent to prove that the generating number of the $\mathcal{PW}$ subhypergraph of the hypergraph H' obtained from H by deleting its isolated vertices and its black vertices is zero.
In short, we have to prove that $Y(H'_f) = 0$. Actually, we will show that the subhypergraph H'_f is empty.

In order to construct H'_f, we consider a hyperedge e in H'. If it contains a pendent white vertex, we remove hyperedge e from H', as well as its pendent vertices (the other vertices of e remain in H'). If it does not contain a pendent white vertex, we do nothing. We do this process again until the resulting hypergraph does not contain pendent white vertices anymore. In the next, this algorithm will be referred as $\mathcal{PW}$ process.
Note : This process is used in the $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm in order to compute the number Y such that :

$$Y(H) = |V_{IW}(H)| + Y + Y(H_f),$$

where $|V_{IW}(H)|$ denotes the number of isolated white vertices in H.
By ignoring the isolated vertices, the subhypergraph $H^\star$ resulting from the $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm is the subhypergraph H_f.

Consequently, to show that H'_f is empty, we have to prove that at each step of $\mathcal{PW}$ process, either there is at least one pendent white vertex left in the resulting hypergraph, or this is empty.

Consider at first a leaf l of T. We prove that in H either l is an isolated vertex, or l is a pendent white vertex, or it is a black vertex. Indeed, there are three possible situations :

1. either l is the out-neighbor of none vertex in T. That means that l is an isolated vertex in H,

2. or l is the out-neighbor of only one vertex. Then, l is either a black vertex, or it is a pendent white vertex in H,

3. or l is the out-neighbor of itself and of its father in T. In this case, l has only one out-neighbor: itself. Consequently, in H, l has a loop. So, it is a black vertex (as the hypergraph is stable).

As a consequence, the leaves in T which are in H' are pendent white vertices in H' (by definition of H'). So, after a finite number of steps, the $\mathcal{PW}$ process will have deleted them from H'.

Once all the leaves of T have been removed from H' by the $\mathcal{PW}$ process, the resulting hypergraph is denoted H'' (tree T and hypergraph H are unchanged).

Consider next the father f of a leaf in T. Let us prove that if vertex f is in H'', then f is a pendent white vertex. Indeed, there are four possible situations :

1. if f is the out-neighbor of none vertex in T, then f is an isolated vertex in H,
2. if f is the out-neighbor in T of only one vertex, then either f is a black vertex in H, or it is a pendent white vertex,
3. if f is the out-neighbor of exactly two vertices : its father and f itself, then either f is a black vertex in H, or it is a white vertex and it belongs to two hyperedges in H. However, the hyperedge built from the out-neighbors of f was deleted from H' during the first steps of the $\mathcal{PW}$ process, as it contained at least a pendent white vertex (a leaf of T). So, in this case, if f is a white vertex in H, then it is a pendent white vertex in H'',
4. if f is the out-neigbor of at least one of its children l in T, then there are two cases : either f is a black vertex in H, or it is a white vertex. In this last case, l has exactly two out-neighbors in T : f and itself. So, in H, f is in the hyperedge $\{l, f\}$. However, since l is a pendent white vertex in H', this hyperedge was deleted from H' in the first steps of the $\mathcal{PW}$ process. As a result, we come back to the case where f is not the out-neighbor of one of its children.

We notice that the fathers of the leaves in T, present in H'', are pendent white vertices in this hypergraph. So, they will be removed from H'' by the $\mathcal{PW}$ process.

Moreover, we notice that in H'', f behaves like it was a leaf in T, that is it meets in H'' one of the three possible situations for a leaf of T in H. So, recursively, after a finite number of steps, the hypergraph resulting from the $\mathcal{PW}$ process will be empty and so, H'_f is empty. ☐

Corollary 4.13. *The minimum rank of a loop directed tree can be computed in polynomial time thanks to $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm.*

5 Loop directed bitrees

Notice that the proof of Theorem 4.12 does not hold with the definition of loop directed tree proposed in [4] (see footnote on page 3). Indeed, if a leaf l has itself and its father as out-neighbors and in addition, if its father has l and at least another vertex as out-neighbors, then leaf l is not necessarily a pendent white vertex in the hypergraph obtained from the stable black-white hypergraph associated with the tree by removing its isolated vertices and its black vertices. So, the proof of Theorem 4.12 does not hold with the definition of loop directed tree proposed in [4] .

However, we show here below that the $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm can also be used in order to compute the minimum rank of a loop directed tree as defined in [4].

To avoid ambiguity, let us call **directed bitree** a directed graph such that its associated undirected graph is a tree. A directed bitree accepts that both of (i, j) and (j, i) are edges in the graph. As usual, we define a **loop directed bitree** as a directed bitree allowing loops. This definition matches with the definition of loop directed tree proposed in [4].

Definition 5.1. *Let H be a stable black-white hypergraph. Two white vertices of H are said to be **twin vertices** if they are the only two white vertices of a hyperedge.*

Lemma 5.2. *Let H be a stable black-white hypergraph and u, v be two twin vertices of H. Denote H_e the stable black-white hypergraph obtained from H by merging u and v, that is u and v represent a same white vertex, denoted $[u]$, in H_e and by removing the hyperedges with only $[u]$ as white vertex. Then, H and H_e has the same generating number.*

Proof. As u and v are twin vertices, we know that if u is colored in black in H, then v will also be colored in black by the color change rule and vice-versa. □

The notion of rooted loop directed bitree, as well as the notion of leaf and the relation “father-child" in a rooted loop directed bitree, are defined in the same way as for a loop directed tree.

Lemma 5.3. *A leaf of a rooted loop directed bitree that is a white vertex in the stable black-white hypergraph associated with the bitree is either an isolated vertex, or a pendent white vertex, or its father and itself are twin vertices (and the leaf is not pendent).*

Proof. If a leaf l that is a white vertex in the stable black-white hypergraph associated with the bitree is not an isolated vertex or a pendent white vertex in this hypergraph, it means that its father is also a white vertex in the hypergraph and that l is in the hyperedge containing only itself and its father, that is the hyperedge built from its out-neighbors. So, leaf l and its father are twin vertices.

□

Remark 5.4. *Let* H *be a stable black-white hypergraph associated with a rooted loop directed bitree* T*. If a leaf* l *of* T *and its father* f *are twin vertices in* H *(suppose without loss of generality that* l *is not pendent), then we merge them (denote* $[l]$ *the vertex they represent) and we remove the hyperedges with only* $[l]$ *as white vertex. Denote* H_e *the resulting hypergraph. By construction, it is clear that hypergraph* H_e *is the stable black-white hypergraph associated with the rooted loop directed bitree* T_e *obtained from* T *by removing* l *from* T*, as well as the edges* (l, l) *and* (l, f) *and if the set of the out-neighbors of* f *that are white in* H *is* $\{l, f\}$*, edges* (f, l) *and* (f, f) *are also removed from* T*. If the set of the out-neighbors of* f *that are white in* H *is different from* $\{l, f\}$*, then edge* (f, l) *becomes a loop at vertex* f *in* T_e*.*

Theorem 5.5. *The minimum rank of a loop directed bitree can be computed in polynomial time thanks to* $\mathcal{PW}$ *algorithm.*

Proof. Thanks to Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 and Remark 5.4, we can assume that all the leaves of the (rooted) bitree are either isolated vertices, or black vertices, or pendent white vertices in the stable black-white hypergraph H associated with the bitree. As a consequence, after a finite number of steps, $\mathcal{PW}$ process (defined in the proof of Theorem 4.12) will have removed all the leaves of the bitree which are in the stable black-white hypergraph H' obtained from H by removing its isolated vertices and its black vertices. Denote H'' the resulting hypergraph.
Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.12, we show that the fathers of the leaves behave in H'' like they were leaves in the bitree. So, recursively, thanks to $\mathcal{PW}$ algorithm, the minimum rank of a loop directed bitree can be computed in polynomial time. □

6 Conclusion

We presented a new formulation of the minimum rank problem of a loop directed tree by introducing the generating number of its associated hypergraph.

Hypergraphs seem to be a natural structure to formulate the minimum rank problem of a loop directed tree. Indeed, we know that the rank of a matrix is invariant by permutation of the rows. So, permuting the rows of the zero-nonzero pattern of the matrices described by the graph does not change the minimum rank. The hypergraph associated with the loop directed tree is also invariant by these permutations whereas the graph is not. As a consequence, we can say that the hypergraph associated with the loop directed tree contains less irrelevant information than the graph itself.

Maybe this hypergraph formalism could be extended in order to compute the minimum rank of any loop directed graph.

References

[1] L. Hogben, Spectral Graph Theory and the Inverse Eigenvalue Problem of a Graph, Chamchuri Journal of Mathematics 1 (2009) 51-72.

[2] S. Fallat, L. Hogben, The Minimum Rank of Symmetric Matrices Described by a Graph : A Survey, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 426 (2007) 558-582.

[3] AIM minimum rank - special graphs work group, Zero forcing sets and the minimum rank of graphs, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 428:1628-1648 (2008).

[4] L. Hogben, Minimum rank problems, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 432(8) (2010) 1961-1974.

[5] W. Barrett, H. van der Holst, R. Loewy, Graphs whose minimal rank is two, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra, 11: 258-280, 2004.